



January 29, 2026

To: Chairwoman Bosn and Members of the Judiciary Committee

From: Eric Reiter, Voices for Children in Nebraska

Re: Adopt the Gender Transition Malpractice Accountability Act, provide an exemption to the time limitation to commence certain actions relating to professional negligence, require insurance coverage for certain treatments and procedures arising as a result of a gender-altering procedure, and change provisions relating to civil actions under the Let Them Grow Act.

Good afternoon, Chairwoman Bosn and members of the Judiciary Committee,

I am here today on behalf of Voices for Children in Nebraska, which opposes LB 731 as this bill puts children's safety and well-being at risk. Our organization exists to elevate the voices of children and youth and to advance public policy that ensures all young people can grow up safe, healthy, and supported in their communities.

LB 731 would adopt a specialized malpractice regime tied specifically to gender-altering procedures and treatments, while also requiring insurance coverage for care arising from such procedures. The broad risks and extended statute of limitations proposed in this bill are poised to deter medical professionals and insurers from offering medically accepted care altogether.

This outcome would harm Nebraskans' access to care, including adults who need gender-affirming care as part of their ongoing healthcare plan. Making it riskier and more costly for providers to practice could create an exodus of qualified professionals, just as has happened in other states that introduced similar legal threats¹.

¹ Goldberg, A.E., Redfield, E. (2025). The Experiences of Gender-Affirming Care Providers. Los Angeles, CA: The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law.

Moreover, healthcare decisions are deeply personal and best made between patients, their families, and their trusted medical providers—not through additional legal hurdles erected by this bill. Nebraskans deserve access to comprehensive healthcare without fear that their providers will be driven out of practice by heightened liability or that the state is singling out certain types of care for punitive treatment².

For these reasons, I urge you to **oppose LB 731** and instead focus on policies that support patient access to safe, evidence-based medical care, uphold consistent professional standards across all specialties, and respect the doctor-patient relationship.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony today.

² Bans on Gender-Affirming Healthcare: The Adolescent Medicine Provider's Dilemma
McNamara, Meredithe et al. Journal of Adolescent Health, Volume 73, Issue 3, 406 - 409